___Original Message----

From: Malcolm, Kim [mailto:KIM@cpuc.ca.gov]

sent: Friday, October 08, 2004 2:24 PM

To: Andy Birnbaum; Alexander B. Cvitan; Horner, Trina; BRIAN D. BAIRD (E-mail); BRUCE FOLSOM (Email); CASE ADMINISTRATION (E-mail); Central Files; CHARLES E. BORN (E-mail); CHARLES L. BEST (Email); DAN L. CARROLL (E-mail); DEBRA S. JACOBSON (E-mail); DOUG GARRETT (E-mail); E. GARTH BLACK (E-mail); EARL NICHOLAS SELBY (E-mail); EDWARD V. KURZ (E-mail); GARRETT L. WONG (Email); Baker, Georgetta; ITZEL BERRIO (E-mail); JAMES M. LEHRER (E-mail); JAMES W. MCTARNAGHAN (E-mail); JEANNE B. ARMSTRONG (E-mail); JOHN DUTCHER (E-mail); JOHN R. GUTIERREZ (E-mail); JOSE E. GUZMAN (E-mail); JULIAN C. CHANG (E-mail); KATHRYN A. FUGERE (E-mail); LEE BURDICK AUSTIN (E-mail); LEON M. BLOOMFIELD (E-mail); LEONARD RANDALL (E-mail); LESLA LEHTONEN (Email); LORRIANE KOCEN (E-mail); MARC D. JOSEPH (E-mail); MARIA L. WOODBRIDGE (E-mail); MARK P. SCHREIBER (E-mail); MARY E. WAND (E-mail); Harrison, Maxine; MICHAEL MORRIS (E-mail); MICHAEL SHAMES (E-mail); NIKAYLA NAIL THOMAS (E-mail); PATRICIA A. SCHMIEGE (E-mail); PATRICK CRONIN (E-mail); PATRICK M. ROSVALL (E-mail); PETER A. CASCIATO (E-mail); Burnham, Petrina; REGINA COSTA (E-mail); ROBERT L. KELLY (E-mail); ROBERT MUNOZ (E-mail); Evans, Roy; RUDOLPH REYES (Email); STEPHEN KUKTA (E-mail); SUSAN L. CONWAY (E-mail); SUZANNE TOLLER (E-mail); SYLVIA D. GARDNER (E-mail); TANYA GULESSERIAN (E-mail); TREG TREMONT (E-mail); WILLIAM H. BOOTH (Email)

Cc: Horner, Trina; ALJ Docket Office

Subject: CPUC R0309006/Comments of Southern California District Council of Laborers

Hello Parties, No one at the Commission to whom I've spoken is able to open the document served by the Southern California District Council of Laborers, probably because of the unusual software that appears to support it. I hereby direct SCDCL to reserve the document in order that we may lawfully file it. Once the parties have received an accessible copy electronically, they have 6 business days to file replies. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Kim Malcolm

Assigned Administrative Law Judge

----Original Message----

From: Andy Birnbaum [mailto:andyb@racclaw.com]

Sent: Tuesday, October 05, 2004 2:39 PM To: Alexander B. Cvitan; Horner, Trina; BRIAN D. BAIRD (E-mail); BRUCE FOLSOM (E-mail); CASE ADMINISTRATION (E-mail); CENTRAL FILES (E-mail); CHARLES E. BORN (E-mail); CHARLES L. BEST (E-mail); DAN L. CARROLL (E-mail); DEBRA S. JACOBSON (E-mail); DOUG GARRETT (E-mail); E. GARTH BLACK (E-mail); EARL NICHOLAS SELBY (E-mail); EDWARD V. KURZ (E-mail); GARRETT L. WONG (E-mail); GEORGETTA J. BAKER (E-mail); ITZEL BERRIO (E-mail); JAMES M. LEHRER (E-mail); JAMES W. MCTARNAGHAN (E-mail); JEANNE B. ARMSTRONG (E-mail); JOHN DUTCHER (Email); JOHN R. GUTIERREZ (E-mail); JOSE E. GUZMAN (E-mail); JULIAN C. CHANG (E-mail); KATHRYN A. FUGERE (E-mail); Malcolm, Kim; LEE BURDICK AUSTIN (Email); LEON M. BLOOMFIELD (E-mail); LEONARD RANDALL (E-mail); LESLA LEHTONEN (E-mail); LORRIANE KOCEN (E-mail); MARC D. JOSEPH (E-mail); MARIA L. WOODBRIDGE (E-mail); MARK P. SCHREIBER (E-mail); MARY E. WAND (E-mail); Harrison, Maxine; MICHAEL MORRIS (E-mail); MICHAEL SHAMES (E-mail); NIKAYLA NAIL THOMAS (E-mail); PATRICIA A. SCHMIEGE (E-mail); PATRICK CRONIN (E-mail); PATRICK M. ROSVALL (E-mail); PETER A. CASCIATO (E-mail); PETRINA BURNHAM (Email); REGINA COSTA (E-mail); ROBERT L. KELLY (E-mail); ROBERT MUNOZ (Email); Evans, Roy; RUDOLPH REYES (E-mail); STEPHEN KUKTA (E-mail); SUSAN L. CONWAY (E-mail); SUZANNE TOLLER (E-mail); SYLVIA D. GARDNER (E-mail); TANYA GULESSERIAN (E-mail); TREG TREMONT (E-mail); WILLIAM H. BOOTH (E-mail) Subject: Comments of Southern California District Council of Laborers

Judge Malcolm:

Attached is the "Comments of Southern California District Council of Laborers on Policies and Rules Governing Utility Construction Contracting Processes." These comments relate to Rulemaking 03-09-006.

<<#116363 v1 - LABORERS COMMENTS.wpd>>

The Southern California District Council of Laborers (Laborers) joined this proceeding late. Other Parties have already filed comments. The Laborers request permission to file the attached comments at this time.

If you have any questions, please call Alexander Cvitan at 213-386-3860. Also, relevant documents should be sent to Mr. Cvitan-his e-mail address is alc@racclaw.com.

Thank you.

Andy Birnbaum
Reich, Adell, Crost & Cvitan
A Professional Law Corporation
3550 Wilshire Blvd.
Suite 2000
Los Angeles, CA 90010
213-386-3860

This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and we intend them solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom we have addressed them. This may contain material protected by the attorney-client privilege, and if it is not addressed to, or if you are not the person responsible for delivering the e-mail to the intended recipient, you have received this e-mail in error and you may not use, disseminate, forward, print, or copy it this e-mail. If you received this e-mail in error, please immediately reply to the sender or notify us at Reich, Adell, Crost & Cvitan by telephone at (213) 386-3860.

Kurz, Edward (Law)

From:

Malcolm, Kim [KIM@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent:

Tuesday, October 19, 2004 11:55 AM

To:

andyb@racclaw.com; nnail@caltel.org; james.lehrer@sce.com; sconway@scwater.com; gbaker@sempra.com; mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com; tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com; rudy.reyes@verizon.com; Kurz, Edward (Law); gw3835@sbc.com; Maria.L.Woodbridge@mci.com; mwand@mofo.com; robert.munoz@mci.com; stephen.h.kukta@mail.sprint.com; gblack@cwclaw.com; jarmstrong@gmssr.com; jguzman@nossaman.com; kfugere@steefel.com: kfugere@steefel.com; mschreiber@cwclaw.com; mamorris@xo.com; pschmiege@omm.com; smalllecs@cwclaw.com; pacasciato@covad.net; tregtremont@dwt.com; Gardner, Sylvia; ens@loens.com; ralf1241a@cs.com; john_gutierrez@cable.comcast.com; wbooth@booth-law.com: iberrio@greenlining.org; |randall@pacwest.com; dcarroll@downeybrand.com; pcronin@mpowercom.com; brian.baird@pantellos.com; debra.jacobson@swgas.com; lorraine.kocen@verizon.com; bobkelly@bobkelly.com; case.admin@sce.com; laustin@ferrisbritton.com; mshames@ucan.org; centralfiles@semprautilities.com; pburnham@semprautilities.com; rcosta@turn.org; julianchang@lga.att.com; Jmctarnaghan@steefel.com; suzannetoller@dwt.com; douglas.garrett@cox.com; lmb@wblaw.net; lesla@calcable.org; cborn@czn.com; cbest@eli.net; bruce.folsom@avistacorp.com; Harrison, Maxine; Malcolm, Kim; Evans, Roy

Cc:

Horner, Trina

Subject: CPUC Rulemaking 0309006 RE utility contracting practices

Hello Parties,

The PUC seems to be having some problems receiving electronic documents. In the past two weeks, I have received electronic versions of the following:

Comments by SCDCL

Appendix A for SCDCL's comments

A note from John Dutcher for Mountain Utilities

Joint Reply comments from SDG&E and SoCalGas

I have not seen PG&E's reply comments, which Mr. Dutcher references, or the appendices for the SCDCL comments other than Appendix A.

If you have filed something in this docket in the past two weeks, please resend your copies electronically and please let me know if you have filed a document or intend to in the next week.

Finally, I have not received hard copies of the SCDCL filings, which are required by the Commission's rules. I ask SCDCL to mail me copies of its complete filing, with appendices, today by express mail.

Thank you, Kim Malcolm Assigned Administrative Law Judge ----Original Message----

From: Malcolm, Kim [mailto:KIM@cpuc.ca.gov] **sent:** Friday, November 05, 2004 1:10 PM

To: andyb@racclaw.com; nnail@caltel.org; james.lehrer@sce.com; sconway@scwater.com; Baker, Georgetta; mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com; tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com; rudy.reyes@verizon.com; evk1@pge.com; gw3835@sbc.com; Maria.L.Woodbridge@mci.com; mwand@mofo.com; robert.munoz@mci.com; stephen.h.kukta@mail.sprint.com; gblack@cwclaw.com; jarmstrong@gmssr.com; jguzman@nossaman.com; kfugere@steefel.com; kfugere@steefel.com; mschreiber@cwclaw.com; mamorris@xo.com; pschmiege@omm.com; smalllecs@cwclaw.com; pacasciato@covad.net; tregtremont@dwt.com; sdg6@pge.com; ens@loens.com; ralf1241a@cs.com; john_gutierrez@cable.comcast.com; wbooth@booth-law.com; iberrio@greenlining.org; lrandall@pacwest.com; dcarroll@downeybrand.com; pcronin@mpowercom.com; brian.baird@pantellos.com; debra.jacobson@swgas.com; lorraine.kocen@verizon.com; bobkelly@bobkelly.com; case.admin@sce.com; laustin@ferrisbritton.com; mshames@ucan.org; Central Files; Burnham, Petrina; rcosta@turn.org; julianchang@lga.att.com; Jmctarnaghan@steefel.com; suzannetoller@dwt.com; douglas.garrett@cox.com; lmb@wblaw.net; lesla@calcable.org; cborn@czn.com; cbest@eli.net; bruce.folsom@avistacorp.com; Harrison, Maxine; Malcolm, Kim; Evans, Rov

Cc: Horner, Trina; Weismehl, Philip S.

Subject: Supplemental Reply Comments in CPUC R0309006

Hello Parties.

On October 5, 2004, the Southern California District Council of Laborers filed a proposal in CPUC proceeding R.0309006 that would require jurisdictional utilities to incorporate in their construction contracting procedures the use of Project Labor Agreements (PLAs) and/or the payment of prevailing wages. PLAs would establish uniform terms and conditions of employment for employees of contractors that bid on and obtain construction work from the utilities. The alternative would be to require utilities to make prevailing wages a condition of a contract for construction work.

Several utilities filed reply comments to the SCDCL's proposals, all of them in opposition to them. Reply comments generally allege that the proposals are untimely, outside the scope of the proceeding, poor public policy and possibly contrary to state and federal labor laws. Some of the parties' reply comments also complained that the parties had only a limited time to address SCDCL's proposals.

This ruling provides an additional opportunity for parties to address the legal and policy issues associated with SCDCL's proposals. Parties may file supplemental reply comments on SCDCL's October 5 proposals. The supplemental reply comments must be served electronically no later than 4pm on November 11, 2004. Because the Commission's Docket Office is closed on November 11, the comments should be filed no later than November 12, 2004. Supplemental reply comments may incorporate earlier reply comments by reference and SCDCL may, in supplemental reply comments, respond to the issues raised by the utilities.

Further, Mountain Utilities objects to language in PG&E's reply comments that suggests the Commission "would have to" reconsider the respondents to this proceeding. This ruling clarifies that the Commission has no formal proposal before it to reverse its previous order on this subject, D.04-04-038.

Thank you for your interest. Kim Malcolm Assigned Administrative Law Judge



"Malcolm, Kim" <KIM@cpuc.ca.gov> 12/06/2004 03:46 PM To: Jill Kronenberger < JKRONENB@cwa-union.org>, mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com,

tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com, bruce.folsom@avistacorp.com, cc: "Nakahara, Martin M." <MMN@cpuc.ca.gov>, ALJ Process

<APR@cpuc.ca.gov>

Subject: RE: Motion to Intervene Late of the Communications Workers of Ame

rica R03-09-006

Parties,

By this note, I am granting CWA's motion to intervene. If the Commission subsequently receives formal protests to the motion, I will reconsider my ruling.

Thank you

Kim Malcolm, Assigned Administrative Law Judge

From: Jill Kronenberger [mailto:JKRONENB@cwa-union.org]

Sent: Friday, December 03, 2004 12:57 PM

To: mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com; tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com; bruce.folsom@avistacorp.com; bobkelly@bobkelly.com; wbooth@booth-law.com; john_gutierrez@cable.comcast.com; lesla@calcable.org; nnail@caltel.org; pacasciato@covad.net; douglas.garrett@cox.com; kim@cpuc.ca.gov; omh@cpuc.ca.gov; rle@cpuc.ca.gov; ralf1241a@cs.com; gblack@cwclaw.com; mschreiber@cwclaw.com; smalllecs@cwclaw.com; cborn@czn.com; dcarroll@downeybrand.com; suzannetoller@dwt.com; tregtremont@dwt.com; cbest@eli.net; laustin@ferrisbritton.com; jarmstrong@gmssr.com; iberrio@greenlining.org; julianchang@lga.att.com; ens@loens.com; stephen.h.kukta@mail.sprint.com; Maria.L.Woodbridge@mci.com; robert.munoz@mci.com; mwand@mofo.com; pcronin@mpowercom.com; jguzman@nossaman.com; pschmiege@omm.com; lrandall@pacwest.com; brian.baird@pantellos.com; evk1@pge.com; sdg6@pge.com; andyb@racclaw.com; gw3835@sbc.com; case.admin@sce.com; james.lehrer@sce.com; sconway@scwater.com; gbaker@sempra.com; centralfiles@semprautilities.com; pburnham@semprautilities.com; Jmctarnaghan@steefel.com; kfugere@steefel.com; debra.jacobson@swgas.com; rcosta@turn.org; mshames@ucan.org; lorraine.kocen@verizon.com; rudy.reyes@verizon.com; lmb@wblaw.net; mamorris@xo.com Subject: Motion to Intervene Late of the Communications Workers of America R03-09-006

00 000 000000 00 0000000 000 003-09-006:

0000000 00 0000 0000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000



To: "Joanna Y. Son" < JSon@unioncounsel.net>, andyb@racclaw.com, nnail@caltel.org, james.lehrer@sce.com, sconway@scwater.com, gbaker@sempra.com, mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com, cc: ALJ Process < APR@cpuc.ca.gov>, "Nakahara, Martin M." < MMN@cpuc.ca.gov>

Subject: RE: Motion to Intervene Late and Comments (Rulemaking 03-09-006)

Parties,
By this note, I am granting the motion to intervene of Northern California
Basic Crafts Alliance. If a party formally opposes the motion, I will
reconsider my ruling.
Thank you,
Kim Malcolm
Assigned Administrative Law Judge

----Original Message----From: Joanna Y. Son [mailto:JSon@unioncounsel.net] Sent: Monday, December 06, 2004 2:45 PM To: andyb@racclaw.com; nnail@caltel.org; james.lehrer@sce.com; sconway@scwater.com; gbaker@sempra.com; mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com; tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com; rudy.reyes@verizon.com; evkl@pge.com; qw3835@sbc.com; Maria.L.Woodbridge@mci.com; mwand@mofo.com: robert.munoz@mci.com; stephen.h.kukta@mail.sprint.com; gblack@cwclaw.com; jarmstrong@gmssr.com; jguzman@nossaman.com; kfugere@steefel.com; kfugere@steefel.com; mschreiber@cwclaw.com; mamorris@xo.com; pschmiege@omm.com; smalllecs@cwclaw.com; pacasciato@covad.net; tregtremont@dwt.com; sdg6@pge.com; ens@loens.com; ralf1241a@cs.com; john_gutierrez@cable.comcast.com; wbooth@booth-law.com; iberrio@greenlining.org; lrandall@pacwest.com; dcarroll@downeybrand.com; pcronin@mpowercom.com; brian.baird@pantellos.com; debra.jacobson@swgas.com; lorraine.kocen@verizon.com; bobkelly@bobkelly.com; case.admin@sce.com; laustin@ferrisbritton.com; mshames@ucan.org; centralfiles@semprautilities.com; pburnham@semprautilities.com; rcosta@turn.org; julianchang@lga.att.com; Jmctarnaghan@steefel.com; suzannetoller@dwt.com; douglas.garrett@cox.com; lmb@wblaw.net; lesla@calcable.org; cborn@czn.com; cbest@eli.net; bruce.folsom@avistacorp.com; omh@cpuc.ca.gov; kim@cpuc.ca.gov; rle@cpuc.ca.gov Subject: Motion to Intervene Late and Comments (Rulemaking 03-09-006)

Attached is the Motion to Intervene Late and Comments of Northern California Basic Crafts Alliance on Policies and Rules Governing Utility Construction Contracting Processes.

<<Mo to Intervene>> <<Mo to Intervene>>



"Malcolm, Kim" <KIM@cpuc.ca.gov> 12/06/2004 03:51 PM

To: "Malcolm, Kim" <KIM@cpuc.ca.gov>, "Joanna Y. Son" <JSon@unioncounsel.net>, andyb@racclaw.com, nnail@caltel.org, james.lehrer@sce.com, sconway@scwater.com, cc: ALJ Process <APR@cpuc.ca.gov>, "Nakahara, Martin M." <MMN@cpuc.ca.gov>

Subject: California Labor Federation Motion to Intervene Late (CPUC Rulem aking 03-09-006)

By this note, I am granting the motion to intervene of the California Labor Federation. If a party formally opposes the motion, I will reconsider my ruling. Thank you, Kim Malcolm Assigned Administrative Law Judge

·rom: ;nt:

Malcolm, Kim [KIM@cpuc.ca.gov] Tuesday, December 07, 2004 8:14 AM

raymond.mayeda@verizon.com; andyb@racclaw.com; nnail@caltel.org; (o:

james.lehrer@sce.com; sconway@scwater.com; gbaker@sempra.com; mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com; tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com; rudy.reyes@verizon.com; Kurz, Edward (Law); gw3835@sbc.com;

Maria.L.Woodbridge@mci.com; mwand@mofo.com; robert.munoz@mci.com; stephen.h.kukta@mail.sprint.com; gblack@cwclaw.com; jarmstrong@gmssr.com;

jguzman@nossaman.com; kfugere@steefel.com; kfugere@steefel.com; mschreiber@cwclaw.com; mamorris@xo.com; pschmiege@omm.com;

smaillecs@cwclaw.com; pacasciato@covad.net; tregtremont@dwt.com; Gardner, Sylvia; ens@loens.com; ralf1241a@cs.com; john_gutierrez@cable.comcast.com; wbooth@boothlaw.com; iberrio@greenlining.org; Irandall@pacwest.com; dcarroll@downeybrand.com; pcronin@mpowercom.com; brian.baird@pantellos.com; debra.jacobson@swgas.com;

lorraine.kocen@verizon.com; bobkelly@bobkelly.com; case.admin@sce.com; laustin@ferrisbritton.com; mshames@ucan.org; centralfiles@semprautilities.com; pburnham@semprautilities.com; rcosta@turn.org; julianchang@lga.att.com; Jmctarnaghan@steefel.com; suzannetoller@dwt.com; douglas.garrett@cox.com; lmb@wblaw.net; lesla@calcable.org; cborn@czn.com; cbest@eli.net;

bruce.folsom@avistacorp.com; Harrison, Maxine; Malcolm, Kim; Evans, Roy

Nakahara, Martin M.; Horner, Trina Subject:

RE: R.03-09-006 Exhibit A-6 to Joint Comments of Verizon California Inc & Pacific Bell

Telephone Company on Draft Decision of Commissioner Lynch

Parties, I am hereby directing the Commission's Process Office to reject Verizon's comments on the basis that they include attachments that are not appropriate for comments to a draft decision. Verizon may refile the omments late without the attachments.

nank you, Kim Malcolm

proindexes.com

Assigned Administrative Law Judge

----Original Message----

From: raymond.mayeda@verizon.com [mailto:raymond.mayeda@verizon.com]

Sent: Monday, December 06, 2004 4:50 PM

To: andyb@racclaw.com; nnail@caltel.org; james.lehrer@sce.com; sconway@scwater.com; gbaker@sempra.com; mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com; tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com; rudy.reyes@verizon.com; evkl@pge.com;

gw3835@sbc.com; Maria.L.Woodbridge@mci.com; mwand@mofo.com;

robert.munoz@mci.com; stephen.h.kukta@mail.sprint.com; gblack@cwclaw.com;

jarmstrong@gmssr.com; jguzman@nossaman.com; kfugere@steefel.com; kfugere@steefel.com; mschreiber@cwclaw.com; mamorris@xo.com;

Pschmiege@omm.com; smalllecs@cwclaw.com; pacasciato@covad.net;

tregtremont@dwt.com; sdg6@pge.com; ens@loens.com; ralf1241a@cs.com;

john gutierrez@cable.comcast.com; wbooth@booth-law.com;

iberrio@greenlining.org; lrandall@pacwest.com; dcarroll@downeybrand.com; Pcronin@mpowercom.com; brian.baird@pantellos.com; debra.jacobson@swgas.com;

lorraine.kocen@verizon.com; bobkelly@bobkelly.com; case.admin@sce.com;

laustin@ferrisbritton.com; mshames@ucan.org;

centralfiles@semprautilities.com; pburnham@semprautilities.com;

rcosta@turn.org; julianchang@lga.att.com; Jmctarnaghan@steefel.com;

suzannetoller@dwt.com; douglas.garrett@cox.com; lmb@wblaw.net;

lesla@calcable.org; cborn@czn.com; cbest@eli.net;

bruce.folsom@avistacorp.com; omh@cpuc.ca.gov; kim@cpuc.ca.gov;

rle@cpuc.ca.gov

Subject: R.03-09-006 Exhibit A-6 to Joint Comments of Verizon California Inc Pacific Bell Telephone Company on Draft Decision of Commissioner Lynch

1031

Attached is Exhibit A-6 to the Joint Comments of Verizon California Inc. (U 1002 C) and Pacific Bell Telephone Company (U 1001 C) on Draft Decision of Commissioner Lynch filed with the CPUC today.

nould you have any questions, please contact Rudy Reyes at 415/749-5539.

(See attached file: Exh. A-6.pdf)

Raymond T. Mayeda Legal Assistant

805/372-7151 805/373-7515 (Fax)

Kurz, Edward (Law)

From: Malcolm, Kim [KIM@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2004 8:18 AM

To: Lionel Brazil; andyb@racclaw.com; nnail@caltel.org; james.lehrer@sce.com;

sconway@scwater.com; gbaker@sempra.com; Marc D. Joseph; Tanya A. Gulesserian; rudy.reyes@verizon.com; Kurz, Edward (Law); gw3835@sbc.com; Maria.L.Woodbridge@mci.com;

mwand@mofo.com; robert.munoz@mci.com; stephen.h.kukta@mail.sprint.com;

gblack@cwclaw.com; jarmstrong@gmssr.com; jguzman@nossaman.com; kfugere@steefel.com; kfugere@steefel.com; mschreiber@cwclaw.com; mamorris@xo.com; pschmiege@omm.com;

smalllecs@cwclaw.com; pacasciato@covad.net; tregtremont@dwt.com; Gardner, Sylvia;

ens@loens.com; ralf1241a@cs.com; john_gutierrez@cable.comcast.com; wbooth@booth-law.com;

iberrio@greenlining.org; lrandall@pacwest.com; dcarroll@downeybrand.com; pcronin@mpowercom.com; brian.baird@pantellos.com; debra.jacobson@swgas.com;

lorrain@ferrisbritton.com; mshames@ucan.org; centralfiles@semprautilities.com;

pbumham@semprautilities.com; rcosta@turn.org; julianchang@lga.att.com;

Jmctarnaghan@steefel.com; suzannetoller@dwt.com; douglas.garrett@cox.com; Imb@wblaw.net;

lesla@calcable.org; cborn@czn.com; cbest@eli.net; bruce.folsom@avistacorp.com; Harrison,

Maxine; Malcolm, Kim; Evans, Roy

Cc: Nakahara, Martin M.; Horner, Trina

Subject: RE: R0309006_motion to Intervene and comments on Draft Decision

Parties, this electronic note grants the undersigned unions' motion to intervene. I will reconsider my ruling in the event that any party formally objects to the requested intervention.

Thank you, Kim Malcolm

Assigned Administrative Law Judge

From: Lionel Brazil [mailto:LBrazil@adamsbroadwell.com]

Sent: Monday, December 06, 2004 3:57 PM

To: andyb@racclaw.com; nnail@caltel.org; james.lehrer@sce.com; sconway@scwater.com; gbaker@sempra.com; Marc D. Joseph; Tanya A. Gulesserian; rudy.reyes@verizon.com; evk1@pge.com; gw3835@sbc.com; Maria.L.Woodbridge@mci.com; mwand@mofo.com; robert.munoz@mci.com; stephen.h.kukta@mail.sprint.com; gblack@cwclaw.com; jarmstrong@gmssr.com; jguzman@nossaman.com; kfugere@steefel.com; kfugere@steefel.com; mschreiber@cwclaw.com; mamorris@xo.com; pschmiege@omm.com; smalllecs@cwclaw.com; pacasciato@covad.net; tregtremont@dwt.com; sdg6@pge.com; ens@loens.com; raif1241a@cs.com; john_gutierrez@cable.comcast.com; wbooth@booth-law.com; iberrio@greenlining.org; lrandall@pacwest.com; dcarroll@downeybrand.com; pcronin@mpowercom.com; brian.baird@pantellos.com; debra.jacobson@swgas.com; lorraine.kocen@verizon.com; bobkelly@bobkelly.com; case.admin@sce.com; laustin@ferrisbritton.com; mshames@ucan.org; centralfiles@semprautilities.com; pburnham@semprautilities.com; rcosta@turn.org; jullanchang@lga.att.com; Jmctarnaghan@steefel.com; suzannetoller@dwt.com; douglas.garrett@cox.com; lmb@wblaw.net; lesla@cakcable.org; cborn@czn.com; cbest@eli.net; bruce.folsom@avistacorp.com; omh@cpuc.ca.gov; kim@cpuc.ca.gov; rle@cpuc.ca.gov
Subject: R0309006_motion to Intervene and comments on Draft Decision

Please find attached in PDF format the MOTION TO INTERVENE LATE BY THE STATE BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION TRADES COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA, AFL-CIO AND COMMENTS OF THE STATE BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION TRADES COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA ON DRAFT DECISION, ORDER ADOPTING RULES GOVERNING UTILITY CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTING PROCESSES. This document has been sent by messenger to the Docket Office for processing.

Kurz, Edward (Law)

From: Sent:

To: Cc: Malcolm, Kim [KIM@cpuc.ca.gov] Tuesday, December 07, 2004 11:15 AM rudy.reyes@verizon.com; Malcolm, Kim

Nakahara, Martin M.; andyb@racclaw.com; bobkelly@bobkelly.com; brian.baird@pantellos.com; bruce.folsom@avistacorp.com; case.admin@sce.com;

cbest@eli.net; cborn@czn.com; centralfiles@semprautilities.com;

dcarroll@downeybrand.com; debra.jacobson@swgas.com; douglas.garrett@cox.com; ens@loens.com; Kurz, Edward (Law); gbaker@sempra.com; gblack@cwclaw.com; gw3835 @sbc.com; iberrio@greenlining.org; james.lehrer@sce.com; jamstrong@gmssr.com; jguzman@nossaman.com; Jmctamaghan@steefel.com; john_gutierrez@cable.comcast.com; julianchang@lga.att.com; kfugere@steefel.com; Malcolm, Kim; laustin@ferrisbritton.com; lesla@calcable.org; Imb@wblaw.net; lorraine.kocen@verizon.com; lrandall@pacwest.com; mamorris@xo.com; Maria.L.Woodbridge@mci.com; mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com; Nakahara, Martin M.; mschreiber@cwclaw.com; mshames@ucan.org; mwand@mofo.com; nnail@caltel.org; Harrison, Maxine; pacasciato@covad.net; pburnham@semprautilities.com; pcronin@mpowercom.com; pschmiege@omm.com; ralf1241a@cs.com;

raymond.mayeda@verizon.com; rcosta@turn.org; Evans, Roy; robert.munoz@mci.com;

sconway@scwater.com; Gardner, Sylvia; smalllecs@cwclaw.com;

stephen.h.kukta@mail.sprint.com; suzannetoller@dwt.com; Horner, Trina;

tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com; tregtremont@dwt.com; wbooth@booth-law.com RE: R.03-09-006 Exhibit A-6 to Joint Comments of Verizon California Inc & Pacific Bell

Telephone Company on Draft Decision of Commissioner Lynch

Subject:

Hello Mr. Reyes,
Permitting the exhibits to be included in comments to the proposed decision would be contrary to our rules and might be unfair to parties who may have wished to present similar types of documents with their own comments. All parties have had opportunities to file these types of documents in earlier comments and to refute the claims of those that were filed. Verizon/PacBell are not prejudiced if the attachments are not accepted because they are nevertheless permitted to assert that, on the basis of the existing record, the proposed decision improperly concludes that there is no factual dispute. Your clients have (properly) taken the opportunity to make that argument in their comments. I will not reconsider my ruling.
Thank you,
Kim Malcolm
Assigned ALJ

----Original Message----

From: rudy.reyes@verizon.com [mailto:rudy.reyes@verizon.com]

Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2004 10:58 AM

To: Malcolm, Kim

Cc: andyb@racclaw.com; bobkelly@bobkelly.com; brian.baird@pantellos.com; bruce.folsom@avistacorp.com; case.admin@sce.com; cbest@eli.net; cborn@czn.com; centralfiles@semprautilities.com; dcarroll@downeybrand.com; debra.jacobson@swgas.com; douglas.garrett@cox.com; ens@loens.com; evkl@pge.com; gbaker@sempra.com; gblack@cwclaw.com; gw3835@sbc.com; iberrio@greenlining.org; james.lehrer@sce.com; jarmstrong@gmssr.com; jguzman@nossaman.com; Jmctarnaghan@steefel.com; john gutierrez@cable.comcast.com; julianchang@lga.att.com; kfugere@steefel.com; Malcolm, Kim; laustin@ferrisbritton.com; lesla@calcable.org; lmb@wblaw.net; lorraine.kocen@verizon.com; lrandall@pacwest.com; mamorris@xo.com; Maria.L.Woodbridge@mci.com; mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com; Nakahara, Martin M.; mschreiber@cwclaw.com; mshames@ucan.org; mwand@mofo.com; nnail@caltel.org; Harrison, Maxine; Pacasciato@covad.net; pburnham@semprautilities.com; pcronin@mpowercom.com; pschmiege@omm.com; ralf1241a@cs.com; raymond.mayeda@verizon.com; ccosta@turn.org; Evans, Roy; robert.munoz@mci.com; sconway@scwater.com; sdg6@pqe.com; smalllecs@cwclaw.com; stephen.h.kukta@mail.sprint.com; Suzannetoller@dwt.com; Horner, Trina; tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com; tregtremont@dwt.com; wbooth@booth-law.com

1

Subject: RE: R.03-09-006 Exhibit A-6 to Joint Comments of Verizon California Inc & Pacific Bell Telephone Company on Draft Decision of Commissioner Lynch

Judge Malcom:

Verizon and SBC will refile their joint comments today, without the exhibits, as you have permitted below.

We ask, however, that you reconsider your ruling rejecting the exhibits. We believe that their submission is permissible under Rule 77.3. That rule provides that "[n]ew factual information, untested by cross-examination, shall not be included in comments and shall not be relied on as the basis for assertions made in post publication comments." The exhibits, however, were not submitted to provide "new factual information" as the basis for assertions made in the comments. On the contrary, the exhibits were provided for the purpose of impeaching the labor parties' unverified factual submissions that Verizon and SBC believe the draft decision relies upon in error. They consist of an appendix of secondary authority cited in footnote 19 of the joint comments, and are provided as a courtesy to the Commission and the parties who might not have ready access to it.

Accordingly, we ask that you allow the exhibits to be submitted for this limited impeachment purpose only.

Respectfully,

Rudolph M. Reyes

Verizon Regulatory Counsel Direct: (415) 749-5539

Fax: (415) 474-6546

[-mail: rudy.reyes@verizon.com

This email contains or may contain privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender and discard this email.

"Malcolm, Kim"

<KIM@cpuc.ca.gov>

To: Raymond T.

Mayeda/EMPL/CA/Verizon@VZNotes, andyb@racclaw.com,

nnail@caltel.org,

james.lehrer@sce.com, sconway@scwater.com, gbaker@sempra.com,

12/07/2004 08:13 mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com,

tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com, Rudy

AM

Reyes/EMPL/CA/Verizon@VZNotes, evk1@pge.com, gw3835@sbc.com,

Maria.L.Woodbridge@mci.com,

mwand@mofo.com, robert.munoz@mci.com,

stephen.h.kukta@mail.sprint.com, gblack@cwclaw.com, jarmstrong@gmssr.com,

jquzman@nossaman.com, kfugere@steefel.com, mschreiber@cwclaw.com,

%dg6@pge.com, ens@loens.com, ralf1241a@cs.com,

John gutierrez@cable.comcast.com, wbooth@booth-law.com, iberrio@greenlining.org,

lrandall@pacwest.com,

Nakahara, Martin 🎉

From: Malcolm, Kim

Sent: Wednesday, December 15, 2004 9:25 AM

To: 'Baker, Georgetta '

Cc: Nakahara, Martin M.; ALJ Docket Office

Subject: RE: R0309006 Please Grant Me Permission to File Reply Comments on ADD Today

HELLO MS. BAKER, I'VE DIRECTED THE DOCKET OFFICE TO ACCEPT YOUR LATE FILING. THANK YOU, KIM MALCOLM ASSIGNED ALJ

CHREST CHORNES

--ORIGINAL MESSAGE---FROM: BAKER, GEORGETTA

To: Malcolm, Kim

CC: BAKER, GEORGETTA

SENT: 12/15/2004 8:38 AM

SUBJECT: RO309006 PLEASE GRANT ME PERMISSION TO FILE REPLY COMMENTS ON ADD

TODAY

IMPORTANCE: HIGH

JUDGE MALCOLM:

PLEASE PERMIT ME TO FILE REPLY COMMENTS ON THE WOOD ADD TODAY ON BEHALF OF SDG&E AND SOCALGAS. ACCORDING TO THE COVER LETTER ACCOMPANYING THE ADD, REPLY COMMENTS WERE DUE YESTERDAY, 12/14. I ERRONEOUSLY RELIED ON THE 5 DAY DEADLINE SET FORTH IN RULE 77.5 AND THOUGHT COMMENTS WERE DUE TODAY. I HAVE LEFT THIS MESSAGE ON YOUR OFFICE PHONE AND ALSO WITH MS.

JOVITA CHAN. IF YOU NEED TO SPEAK WITH ME DIRECTLY, MY NUMBER IS 619-699-5064. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

GEORGETTA BAKER

---ORIGINAL MESSAGE---

FROM: MALCOLM, KIM [MAILTO:KIM@CPUC.CA.GOV]

SENT: TUESDAY, DECEMBER 07, 2004 8:18 AM

TO: LIONEL BRAZIL; ANDYB@RACCLAW.COM; NNAIL@CALTEL.ORG; JAMES.LEHRER@SCE.COM; SCONWAY@SCWATER.COM; BAKER, GEORGETTA; MARC D.

JOSEPH; TANYA A. GULESSERIAN; RUDY.REYES@VERIZON.COM; EVK1@PGE.COM; GW3835@SBC.COM; MARIA.L.WOODBRIDGE@MCI.COM; MWAND@MOFO.COM;

ROBERT.MUNOZ@MCI.COM; STEPHEN.H.KUKTA@MAIL.SPRINT.COM; GBLACK@CWCLAW.COM;

JARMSTRONG@GMSSR.COM; JGUZMAN@NOSSAMAN.COM; KFUGERE@STEEFEL.COM;

KFUGERE@STEEFEL.COM; MSCHREIBER@CWCLAW.COM; MAMORRIS@XO.COM;

PSCHMIEGE@OMM.COM; SMALLLECS@CWCLAW.COM; PACASCIATO@COVAD.NET;

TREGTREMONT@DWT.COM; SDG6@PGE.COM; ENS@LOENS.COM; RALF 1 24 1 A@CS.COM;

JOHN_GUTIERREZ@CABLE.COMCAST.COM; WBOOTH@BOOTH-LAW.COM;

IBERRIO@GREENLINING.ORG; LRANDALL@PACWEST.COM; DCARROLL@DOWNEYBRAND.COM;

PCRONIN@MPOWERCOM.COM; BRIAN.BAIRD@PANTELLOS.COM;

DEBRA.JACOBSON@SWGAS:COM; LORRAINE.KOCEN@VERIZON.COM;

BOBKELLY@BOBKELLY.COM; CASE.ADMIN@SCE.COM; LAUSTIN@FERRISBRITTON.COM;

MSHAMES@UCAN.ORG; CENTRAL FILES; BURNHAM, PETRINA; RCOSTA@TURN.ORG;

JULIANCHANG@LGA.ATT.COM; JMCTARNAGHAN@STEEFEL.COM; SUZANNETOLLER@DWT.COM;

DOUGLAS.GARRETT@COX.COM; LMB@WBLAW.NET; LESLA@CALCABLE.ORG; CBORN@CZN.COM; CBEST@ELI.NET; BRUCE.FOLSOM@AVISTACORP.COM; HARRISON, MAXINE; MALCOLM, KIM;

EVANS, ROY

CC: NAKAHARA, MARTIN M.; HORNER, TRINA

JBJECT: RE: R0309006_MOTION TO INTERVENE AND COMMENTS ON DRAFT DECISION

PARTIES, THIS ELECTRONIC NOTE GRANTS THE UNDERSIGNED UNIONS' MOTION TO INTERVENE. I WILL RECONSIDER MY RULING IN THE EVENT THAT ANY PARTY FORMALLY OBJECTS TO THE REQUESTED INTERVENTION.

THANK YOU,

KIM MALCOLM

ASSIGNED ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

FROM: LIONEL BRAZIL [MAILTO:LBRAZIL@ADAMSBROADWELL.COM] SENT: MONDAY, DECEMBER 06, 2004 3:57 PM TO: ANDYB@RACCLAW.COM; NNAIL@CALTEL.ORG; JAMES.LEHRER@SCE.COM; SCONWAY@SCWATER.COM; GBAKER@SEMPRA.COM; MARC D. JOSEPH; TANYA A. GULESSERIAN; RUDY.REYES@VERIZON.COM; EVK1@PGE.COM; GW3835@SBC.COM; MARIA.L.WOODBRIDGE@MCI.COM; MWAND@MOFO.COM; ROBERT.MUNOZ@MCI.COM; STEPHEN.H.KUKTA@MAIL.SPRINT.COM; GBLACK@CWCLAW.COM; JARMSTRONG@GMSSR.COM; JGUZMAN@NOSSAMAN.COM; KFUGERE@STEEFEL.COM; KFUGERE@STEEFEL.COM; MSCHREIBER@CWCLAW.COM; MAMORRIS@XO.COM; PSCHMIEGE@OMM.COM; SMALLLECS@CWCLAW.COM; PACASCIATO@COVAD.NET; TREGTREMONT@DWT.COM; SDG6@PGE.COM; ENS@LOENS.COM; RALF 1 24 1 A@CS.COM; JOHN_GUTIERREZ@CABLE.COMCAST.COM; WBOOTH@BOOTH-LAW.COM; IBERRIO@GREENLINING.ORG; LRANDALL@PACWEST.COM; DCARROLL@DOWNEYBRAND.COM; PCRONIN@MPOWERCOM.COM; BRIAN.BAIRD@PANTELLOS.COM; DEBRA.JACOBSON@SWGAS.COM; LORRAINE.KOCEN@VERIZON.COM; BOBKELLY@BOBKELLY.COM; CASE.ADMIN@SCE.COM; LAUSTIN@FERRISBRITTON.COM; MSHAMES@UCAN.ORG; CENTRALFILES@SEMPRAUTILITIES.COM; PBURNHAM@SEMPRAUTILITIES.COM; RCOSTA@TURN.ORG; JULIANCHANG@LGA.ATT.COM; JMCTARNAGHAN@STEEFEL.COM; SUZANNETOLLER@DWT.COM; DOUGLAS.GARRETT@COX.COM; LMB@WBLAW.NET; LESLA@CALCABLE.ORG; CBORN@CZN.COM; CBEST@ELI.NET; BRUCE.FOLSOM@AVISTACORP.COM; OMH@CPUC.CA.GOV; KIM@CPUC.CA.GOV; RLE@CPUC.CA.GOV SUBJECT: R0309006_MOTION TO INTERVENE AND COMMENTS ON DRAFT DECISION

PLEASE FIND ATTACHED IN PDF FORMAT THE MOTION TO INTERVENE LATE BY THE STATE BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION TRADES COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA, AFL-CIO

AND COMMENTS OF THE STATE BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION TRADES COUNCIL OF

CALIFORNIA ON DRAFT DECISION, ORDER ADOPTING RULES GOVERNING UTILITY CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTING PROCESSES. THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN SENT BY MESSENGER TO THE DOCKET OFFICE FOR PROCESSING.

<1516-010B SP C COMMENTS ON UTILITY CONTRACTING DD.PDF>>

LIONEL P. BRAZIL
ADAMS BROADWELL JOSEPH & CARDOZO
(650) 589-1660
LBRAZIL@ADAMSBROADWELL.COM

THIS E-MAIL MAY CONTAIN MATERIAL THAT IS CONFIDENTIAL, PRIVILEGED AND/OR ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT FOR THE SOLE USE OF THE INTENDED RECIPIENT. ANY REVIEW, RELIANCE OR DISTRIBUTION BY OTHERS OR FORWARDING WITHOUT EXPRESS PERMISSION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, PLEASE CONTACT THE SENDER AND DELETE ALL COPIES.

Nakahara, Marun M.

Correso

From:

Malcolm, Kim

Sent:

Tuesday, December 07, 2004 8:18 AM

To:

Lionel Brazil; andyb@racclaw.com; nnail@caltel.org; james.lehrer@sce.com; sconway@scwater.com; gbaker@sempra.com; Marc D. Joseph; Tanya A. Gulesserian; rudy.reyes@verizon.com; evk1@pge.com; gw3835@sbc.com; Maria.L.Woodbridge@mci.com; mwand@mofo.com; robert.munoz@mci.com; stephen.h.kukta@mail.sprint.com; gblack@cwclaw.com; jarmstrong@gmssr.com; jguzman@nossaman.com; kfugere@steefel.com; kfugere@steefel.com; mschreiber@cwclaw.com; mamorris@xo.com; pschmiege@omm.com; smalllecs@cwclaw.com; pacasciato@covad.net; tregtremont@dwt.com; sdg6@pge.com; ens@loens.com; ralf1241a@cs.com; john_gutierrez@cable.comcast.com; wbooth@booth-law.com; iberrio@greenlining.org; lrandall@pacwest.com; dcarroll@downeybrand.com; pcronin@mpowercom.com; brian.baird@pantellos.com; debra.jacobson@swgas.com; lorraine.kocen@verizon.com; bobkelly@bobkelly.com; case.admin@sce.com; laustin@ferrisbritton.com; mshames@ucan.org; centralfiles@semprautilities.com; pburnham@semprautilities.com; rcosta@turn.org; julianchang@lga.att.com;

Jmctarnaghan@steefel.com; suzannetoller@dwt.com; douglas.garrett@cox.com; Imb@wblaw.net; lesla@calcable.org; cborn@czn.com; cbest@eli.net; bruce.folsom@avistacorp.com; Harrison,

Maxine; Malcolm, Kim; Evans, Roy

Cc:

Nakahara, Martin M.; Horner, Trina

Subject: RE: R0309006_motion to Intervene and comments on Draft Decision

Parties, this electronic note grants the undersigned unions' motion to intervene. I will reconsider my ruling in the event that any party formally objects to the requested intervention.

Thank you, Kim Malcolm

Assigned Administrative Law Judge

From: Lionel Brazil [mailto:LBrazil@adamsbroadwell.com]

Sent: Monday, December 06, 2004 3:57 PM

To: andyb@racclaw.com; nnail@caltel.org; james.lehrer@sce.com; sconway@scwater.com; gbaker@sempra.com; Marc D. Joseph; Tanya A. Gulesserian; rudy.reyes@verizon.com; evk1@pge.com; gw3835@sbc.com; Maria.L.Woodbridge@mci.com; mwand@mofo.com; robert.munoz@mci.com; stephen.h.kukta@mail.sprint.com; gblack@cwclaw.com; jarmstrong@gmssr.com; jguzman@nossaman.com; kfugere@steefel.com; kfugere@steefel.com; mschreiber@cwclaw.com; mamorris@xo.com; pschmiege@omm.com; smalllecs@cwclaw.com; pacasciato@covad.net; tregtremont@dwt.com; sdg6@pge.com; ens@loens.com; ralf1241a@cs.com; john_gutierrez@cable.comcast.com; wbooth@booth-law.com; iberrio@greenlining.org; lrandall@pacwest.com; dcarroll@downeybrand.com; pcronin@mpowercom.com; brian.baird@pantellos.com; debra.jacobson@swgas.com; lorraine.kocen@verizon.com; bobkelly@bobkelly.com; case.admin@sce.com; laustin@ferrisbritton.com; mshames@ucan.org; centralfiles@semprautilities.com; pburnham@semprautilities.com; rcosta@turn.org; julianchang@lga.att.com; Jmctarnaghan@steefel.com; suzannetoller@dwt.com; douglas.garrett@cox.com; lmb@wblaw.net; lesla@calcable.org; cborn@czn.com; cbest@eli.net; bruce.folsom@avistacorp.com; omh@cpuc.ca.gov; kim@cpuc.ca.gov; rle@cpuc.ca.gov
Subject: R0309006_motion to Intervene and comments on Draft Decision

Please find attached in PDF format the MOTION TO INTERVENE LATE BY THE STATE BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION TRADES COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA, AFL-CIO AND COMMENTS OF THE STATE BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION TRADES COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA ON DRAFT DECISION, ORDER ADOPTING RULES GOVERNING UTILITY CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTING PROCESSES. This document has been sent by messenger to the Docket Office for processing.

Vengerova, Maria

Corresp. R03-09-006

From:

Malcolm, Kim

Sent:

Monday, December 06, 2004 3:50 PM

To:

Joanna Y. Son; andyb@racclaw.com; nnail@caltel.org; james.lehrer@sce.com; sconway@scwater.com; gbaker@sempra.com; mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com; tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com; rudy.reyes@verizon.com; evk1@pge.com; gw3835@sbc.com; Maria.L.Woodbridge@mci.com; mwand@mofo.com; robert.munoz@mci.com; stephen.h.kukta@mail.sprint.com; gblack@cwclaw.com; jarmstrong@gmssr.com; jguzman@nossaman.com; kfugere@steefel.com; kfugere@steefel.com; mschreiber@cwclaw.com; mamorris@xo.com; pschmiege@omm.com; smalllecs@cwclaw.com; pacasciato@covad.net; tregtremont@dwt.com; sdg6@pge.com; ens@loens.com; ralf1241a@cs.com; john_gutierrez@cable.comcast.com; wbooth@booth-law.com; iberrio@greenlining.org; Irandall@pacwest.com; dcarroll@downeybrand.com; pcronin@mpowercom.com; brian.baird@pantellos.com; debra.jacobson@swgas.com; lorraine.kocen@verizon.com; bobkelly@bobkelly.com; case.admin@sce.com; laustin@ferrisbritton.com; mshames@ucan.org; centralfiles@semprautilities.com; pburnham@semprautilities.com; rcosta@turn.org;

julianchang@lga.att.com; Jmctarnaghan@steefel.com; suzannetoller@dwt.com;

douglas.garrett@cox.com; lmb@wblaw.net; lesla@calcable.org; cborn@czn.com; cbest@eli.net;

bruce.folsom@avistacorp.com; Harrison, Maxine; Malcolm, Kim; Evans, Roy

Cc:

ALJ Process; Nakahara, Martin M.

Subject: RE: Motion to Intervene Late and Comments (Rulemaking 03-09-006)

Parties,

By this note, I am granting the motion to intervene of Northern California Basic Crafts Alliance. If a party formally opposes the motion, I will reconsider my ruling.

Thank you,

Kim Malcolm

Assigned Administrative Law Judge

----Original Message----

From: Joanna Y. Son [mailto:JSon@unioncounsel.net]

Sent: Monday, December 06, 2004 2:45 PM

To: andyb@racclaw.com; nnail@caltel.org; james.lehrer@sce.com; sconway@scwater.com; gbaker@sempra.com; mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com; tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com; rudy.reyes@verizon.com; evk1@pge.com; gw3835@sbc.com; Maria.L.Woodbridge@mci.com; mwand@mofo.com; robert.munoz@mci.com; stephen.h.kukta@mail.sprint.com; gblack@cwclaw.com; jarmstrong@gmssr.com; jguzman@nossaman.com; kfugere@steefel.com; kfugere@steefel.com; mschreiber@cwclaw.com; mamorris@xo.com; pschmiege@omm.com; smalllecs@cwclaw.com; pacasciato@covad.net; tregtremont@dwt.com; sdg6@pge.com; ens@loens.com; ralf1241a@cs.com; john_gutierrez@cable.comcast.com; wbooth@boothlaw.com; iberrio@greenlining.org; lrandall@pacwest.com; dcarroll@downeybrand.com; pcronin@mpowercom.com; brian.baird@pantellos.com; debra.jacobson@swgas.com; lorraine.kocen@verizon.com; bobkelly@bobkelly.com; case.admin@sce.com; laustin@ferrisbritton.com; mshames@ucan.org; centralfiles@semprautilities.com; pburnham@semprautilities.com; rcosta@turn.org; julianchang@lga.att.com; Jmctarnaghan@steefel.com; suzannetoller@dwt.com; douglas.garrett@cox.com; lmb@wblaw.net; lesla@calcable.org; cborn@czn.com; cbest@eli.net; bruce.folsom@avistacorp.com; omh@cpuc.ca.gov; kim@cpuc.ca.gov; rle@cpuc.ca.gov Subject: Motion to Intervene Late and Comments (Rulemaking 03-09-006)

Attached is the Motion to Intervene Late and Comments of Northern California Basic Crafts Alliance on Policies and Rules Governing Utility Construction Contracting Processes.